	United	STATES DIST	RICT COURT		
		District of	•	GUAM	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA				
	V.	ORDE	ER OF DETENTION	N PENDING HEARING	
	SEAN MICHAEL COLE	Case	CR-05-00049		
	Defendant				
	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. n of the defendant pending trial in this case.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearin	g has been held. I conclude	that the following facts require the	
		Part I—Findings of F	act		
□ (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in				
	a felony that was committed after the defer		two or more prior federal of	ffenses described in 18 U.S.C.	
\Box α	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state of		on on valence manding trial fo	or a federal state or local offensa	
☐ (2) ☐ (3)					
LJ (3)	for the offense described in finding (1).				
(4)					
Alternative Findings (A)					
X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense					
	for which a maximum term of imprisonment under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	nt of ten years or more is pre	scribed in <u>21 U.S.C. §841(</u>	a)(1), (b)(1)(A)(viii) and 846	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by the defendant has not rebutted the defend				n of conditions will reasonably assure	
	the appearance of the defendant as required and	the safety of the community Alternative Findings (TILLU	
X (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will n	ot appear.		DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM	
X (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. AUG 2 6 2005				
	CLEDK OF COUR				
				CLERK OF COURT	
	Dout II W				
I fir	rart 11—w nd that the credible testimony and informati	ritten Statement of Reas		onvincing X a prepon-	
	of the evidence that	on submitted at the hearm	ig clear and co	Ma prepon-	
	nds that there is insufficient information be	fore the Court to establish	if any condition or comb	oination of conditions	
will rea	sonably assure the appearance of the defend	lant as required. Further.	the Court finds that the d	efendant is a serious risk to	
	munity and that therefore, it is hereby order States Marshal.	ed by this Court that the c	defendant be remanded to	the custody of the	
Office	States ividishat.				
to the ex reasonab Governm	Part I defendant is committed to the custody of the Atto ktent practicable, from persons awaiting or serv ble opportunity for private consultation with def nent, the person in charge of the corrections faci ction with a court proceeding. Date	ing sentences or being held ense counsel. On order of a	d representative for confiner in custody pending appeal. court of the United States	The defendant shall be afforded a or on request of an attorney for the hal for the purpose of an appearance	
JOAQUIN V. E. MANIBUSAN JR., MAGISTRATE JUDGE					
			Vame and Title of Judicial Offi		